1. Approximation of e

$$e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}$$

Consider

1

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \frac{1}{k!} - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} + \frac{1}{(n+2)!} + \dots \frac{1}{(n+m)!} = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(n+2)} + \dots \frac{1}{(n+2)} + \dots \frac{1}{(n+2)^{m-1}}\right) < \frac{1}{nn!}$$

Then, as $m \to +\infty$, we find

$$0 < e - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} < \frac{1}{nn!}$$

 $e \approx 2,71828$

2. Irrationality of e

We have

$$0 < e - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} < \frac{1}{nn!},$$

assume, by contradiction, that e is a rational number, $e = \frac{p}{q}$ with p, q relative prime, hence

$$0 < \frac{p}{q} - \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{1}{k!} < \frac{1}{qq!},$$

and

$$0 < q! \left(\frac{p}{q} - \sum_{k=0}^{q} \frac{1}{k!}\right) < \frac{1}{q},$$

and we arrive to a contradiction, since the first is an integer and the second cannot be.

3. Trascendence of e

We recall from literature a proof of Hermite's theorem on the transcendence of the number e. The assert is the following

The number e is transcendental, that is it does not satisfy any algebraic equation of integer coefficients.

Proof. If f is a polynomial of degree n, then integrating by parts we obtain that ca.

$$\int_0^a f(x)e^{-x} dx + \left[e^{-x}(f(x) + f'(x) + \dots + f^{(n)}(x))\right]_0^a = 0.$$

Putting

$$F(x) = f(x) + f'(x) + \dots + f^{(n)}(x)$$
1

for brevity, it follows that

$$e^{a}F(0) = F(a) + e^{a} \int_{0}^{a} f(x)e^{-x} dx$$

for all real a.

Assume by contradiction that

$$c_0 + c_1 e + \dots + c_m e^m = 0$$

for some integers c_0, \ldots, c_m such that $c_0 \neq 0$. Then we deduce from the above formula the following identity:

$$0 = c_0 F(0) + c_1 F(1) + \dots + c_m F(m) + \sum_{i=0}^m c_i e^i \int_0^i f(x) e^{-x} dx.$$

We shall arrive at a contradiction by constructing a polynomial f such that

(1)
$$|c_0 F(0) + c_1 F(1) + \dots + c_m F(m)| \ge 1$$

but

(2)
$$\left|\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i e^i \int_0^i f(x) e^{-x} dx\right| < 1.$$

Fix a large prime number p, satisfying p > m and $p > |c_0|$, and consider the polynomial

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} x^{p-1} (x-1)^p (x-2)^p \dots (x-m)^p.$$

Then

(3)
$$F(1), F(2), \dots, F(m)$$
 are integer multiples of p .

Indeed, $f, f', \ldots, f^{(p-1)}$ all vanish at $1, 2, \ldots, m$. Furthermore, developing f and then differentiating term by term we obtain that $f^{(p)}, f^{(p+1)}, \ldots$ are polynomials whose coefficients are integer multiples of p. Hence (3) follows.

The above reasoning also shows that

$$f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(p-2)}(0) = 0$$

and that

$$f^{(p)}(0), f^{(p+1)}(0), \dots$$

are integer multiples of p. On the other hand,

$$f^{(p-1)}(0) = (-1)^{mp} (m!)^p$$

is an integer, but not a multiple of p because p > m. Since $0 < |c_0| < p$, hence

(4) F(0) is integer, but not a multiples of p.

Now (1) follows from (3) and (4).

For the proof of (2) first we remark that

$$|f(x)| \le \frac{m^{mp+p-1}}{(p-1)!}$$
 if $0 \le x \le m$.

Hence

$$\left|\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i e^i \int_0^i f(x) e^{-x} dx\right| \le \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i e^i\right) \frac{m^{mp+p-1}}{(p-1)!}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i e^i m^m\right) \frac{(m^{m+1})^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}.$$

Since the last expression tends to zero as p tends to infinity, choosing a sufficiently large p hence (2) follows.

4. STIRLING'S FORMULA

James Stirling (Scotland, 1692-1770) Approximation formula

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n,$$

that is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2\pi n}(n/e)^n} = 1.$$

References

- G. M. Fichtenholz, A course of Differential and Integral Calculus, Nauka, Moscow, 1966.
- [2] E. Giusti, Analisi Matematica I, Boringhieri Ed, 1988.